



## **UVic CES Faculty Report for Courses Offered in EN (201509)**

### **UVic Course Experience Survey - Fall 2015**


Project Audience 7500  
Responses Received 3420  
Response Ratio 46%

**Creation Date** Tue, Jan 19, 2016




## I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions




Statistics	Value
Response Count	3856
Mean	4.22
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.96

2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	3841
Mean	3.86
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.13

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	3839
Mean	3.75
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.19

4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required




Statistics	Value
Response Count	3834
Mean	4.06
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.02

5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time



Statistics	Value
Response Count	3840
Mean	4.00
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.02

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course





Statistics	Value
Response Count	3832
Mean	3.83
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.08

7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students

8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course

CES Roll-up by Faculty Code Report (EN 201509)

and their ideas




Statistics	Value
Response Count	3833
Mean	4.23
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.95

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3830
Mean	3.99
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.09


## II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	3395
Mean	3.89
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.03

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	3389
Mean	3.77
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.09

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content




Statistics	Value
Response Count	3382
Mean	3.96
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.03

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning




Statistics	Value
Response Count	3363
Mean	3.79
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.05

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair



Statistics	Value
Response Count	3386
Mean	3.85
Median	4.00

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)



Statistics	Value
Response Count	3380
Mean	3.99


CES Roll-up by Faculty Code Report (EN 201509)

Standard Deviation	+/-1.05
--------------------	---------

Median	4.00
--------	------

Standard Deviation	+/-1.02
--------------------	---------


7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience




Statistics	Value
Response Count	3379
Mean	3.88
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.05

### III Statements About The Students:


#### My primary reason for taking the course.




#### The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend




#### Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was



#### The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:




#### As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:




### IV Additional Statments:

**The instructor uses teaching aids effectively (blackboard, overheads, visual aids and/or any other technology)**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1172
Mean	3.93
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.92

**If the course had multiple instructors, how does it compare to courses with a single instructor?**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	663
Mean	3.63
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.88


**If the course had a major project worth 20% or more of the final grade, the project contributed to my overall understanding of the course material**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	715
Mean	3.61
Median	4.00


Standard Deviation	+/-1.03
--------------------	---------

**If the course required team-work, how effective was the team learning experience compared to individual study**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	699
Mean	3.55
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.02

**If the course had a lab, the lab contributed to the overall understanding of the course material**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	652
Mean	3.37
Median	3.00
Mode	3
Standard Deviation	+/-1.14
Population Standard Deviation	+/-1.14
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.04
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.04

**The instructor displays a good understanding of the material being presented**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2597




Mean	4.33
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.99

**The instructor uses the blackboard/overhead and/or visual aids effectively**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2600
Mean	3.98
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.12

**(Courses with labs) The laboratories contributed to my understanding of the course material**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	2094
Mean	3.65
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.19


**(Courses with tutorials) The tutorials contributed to my understanding of the course material**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	1513
Mean	3.59
Median	4.00


Standard Deviation	+/-1.17
--------------------	---------

**(Courses with a major project, i.e. 20% or more of the final grade) The project contributed to my understanding of the course material**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1396
Mean	3.75
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.08

**The way the assignments were weighted (as a proportion of the final grad) was fair and logical.**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	405
Mean	3.95
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.81


**The workload was manageable and spread evenly throughout the length of the course.**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	417
Mean	3.73
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.88


**The instructor spoke in a clear and concise manner.**

CES Roll-up by Faculty Code Report (EN 201509)




Statistics	Value
Response Count	422
Mean	4.26
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.91

**The course provided a balanced and thorough examination of the subject.**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	412
Mean	4.03
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.80

**Please answer only if you are evaluating a seminar: The instructor adequately guided the discussion so that objectives were met within each class.**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	155
Mean	4.19
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.88